

Eroding Landscapes, Eroding Identities: An Ecocritical Study of Kiran Desai's Fiction

Dr. Rajendra D. Gholap*

Associate Professor, Dept of English, KRT Arts, BH Commerce and AM Science (K.T.H.M.) College, Nashik, Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune

Received: 11/02/2026

Accepted: 02/03/2026

Published: 14/03/2026

Abstract: This paper undertakes a sustained ecocritical examination of Kiran Desai's fiction, with particular attention to her debut novel *Hullabaloo* in the *Guava Orchard* (1998) and her Booker Prize-winning *The Inheritance of Loss* (2006). Drawing upon the theoretical frameworks of ecocriticism, postcolonial ecology, and environmental humanities, the study investigates how Desai employs landscape, geography, and ecological degradation as literary devices that mirror and intensify the psychological, cultural, and political fragmentation of her characters. The central argument of the paper is that in Desai's fictional world, the erosion of the natural environment is inseparable from the erosion of human identity, community, and cultural memory. Through close textual analysis, the paper explores how the Himalayan foothills of Kalimpong and the withering guava orchard of Shahkot become symbolic territories where ecological loss resonates with existential crisis. The study further situates Desai's work within the broader discourses of climate anxiety, postcolonial guilt, and the enduring legacies of imperial exploitation that continue to shape both environments and human subjectivities across the Indian subcontinent. The paper concludes that Desai's fiction makes a significant and underappreciated contribution to Indian English literature's engagement with environmental ethics and ecological consciousness.

Keywords: *Ecocriticism, Environmental Identity, Postcolonial Ecology, Hullabaloo in the Guava Climate Anxiety, Solastalgia.*

Cite this article: Gholap, R. D. (2026). Eroding Landscapes, Eroding Identities: An Ecocritical Study of Kiran Desai's Fiction. *MRS Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(3), 50-54.

Introduction

The relationship between landscape and human identity has long occupied a central place in literary imagination, but it is only in recent decades, with the rise of ecocriticism as a formal discipline, that scholars have begun to examine this relationship with the rigour and theoretical sophistication it demands. Ecocriticism, broadly understood as the study of the relationship between literature and the physical environment, has opened new pathways for reading texts not merely as aesthetic or social documents but as ecological ones — records of how human beings have imagined, inhabited, exploited, and mourned the natural world around them.

Indian English literature, with its deep roots in a land of extraordinary geographical and ecological diversity, offers particularly fertile ground for ecocritical inquiry. Among contemporary writers working in this tradition, Kiran Desai stands out for the singular intensity with which she renders landscape — not as backdrop or ornamentation, but as a living, suffering entity whose fate is inextricably bound to that of the human characters who inhabit it. Her two novels, *Hullabaloo in the Guava Orchard* (1998) and *The Inheritance of Loss* (2006), are populated by landscapes in various states of ruin: orchards choked by neglect, mountain towns battered by monsoon and fog, forests receding under the weight of modernity, and rivers losing their clarity to the effluents of progress. These are not incidental details but the very substance of Desai's fictional world, and they speak to a profound ecological imagination that this paper sets out to illuminate.

The central argument of this paper is that in Kiran Desai's fiction, the erosion of landscape is also the erosion of identity. When the guava orchard withers, so does the social order that once flourished around it. When the Himalayan ecosystem is disturbed by political conflict and economic migration, the characters who inhabit that ecosystem lose their moorings — cultural, emotional, and existential. Desai's fiction thus enacts what environmental critics have called the ecological unconscious: the deep, often unacknowledged awareness that human beings carry of their dependence upon and entanglement with the non-human world.

This paper proceeds in six sections. After establishing the relevant theoretical frameworks, it offers close ecocritical readings of both novels before addressing the themes of migration and ecological dislocation, environmental ethics, and finally Desai's contribution to what scholars have termed the Anthropocene imagination in contemporary world literature.

Theoretical Framework: Ecocriticism and Postcolonial Ecology

Ecocriticism as a formal discipline emerged most prominently in the 1990s, with Cheryll Glotfelty and Harold Fromm's foundational anthology *The Ecocriticism Reader* (1996) and Lawrence Buell's *The Environmental Imagination* (1995) establishing its core concerns and methods. At its heart, ecocriticism interrogates the ways in which literary texts represent, construct, and respond to the natural environment. It asks how nature is figured in literature, whose interests those figurations serve, and what ethical orientations they encode or enable —

questions that become especially charged when applied to literature produced in the context of colonial and postcolonial histories.

For the study of postcolonial literature, ecocriticism has found a productive and necessary partner in postcolonial theory. Scholars such as Graham Huggan and Helen Tiffin, in their landmark study *Postcolonial Ecocriticism* (2010), have argued that the exploitation of non-human nature and the exploitation of colonised peoples are historically and ideologically linked. Colonialism, they contend, was not merely a political and economic enterprise but an ecological one: it involved the systematic extraction of natural resources, the radical transformation of landscapes to serve imperial interests, and the systematic destruction of indigenous environmental knowledges and relationships. The wounds that colonialism inflicted on people and on land are, in this view, inseparable and mutually reinforcing across generations.

This framework is particularly illuminating for reading Kiran Desai's fiction. India's landscapes bear the deep marks of colonial extraction — deforested hillsides, diverted rivers, tea plantations established on land cleared of native forests — and Desai's novels are acutely aware of these histories. Her characters are not simply individuals navigating personal crises but inheritors of an ecological and cultural legacy shaped, distorted, and impoverished by centuries of colonial intervention and its postcolonial aftermaths.

The paper also draws upon the concept of solastalgia, developed by environmental philosopher Glenn Albrecht, to examine the psychological dimensions of ecological loss in Desai's fiction. Solastalgia refers to the distress caused by environmental change in one's home environment — a grief distinct from nostalgia in that it is experienced in the present rather than in retrospect. It is the pain of witnessing the destruction of a beloved landscape while still inhabiting it, and it describes with precision the emotional condition of many of Desai's most memorable characters. Complementing this is Rob Nixon's concept of slow violence — the gradual, incremental, often invisible destruction of environments and communities that characterises so much of the ecological damage wrought by colonialism and global capitalism — which helps to articulate the temporal dimensions of ecological loss in Desai's work.

The Guava Orchard as Ecological Symbol in *Hullabaloo in the Guava Orchard*

Kiran Desai's debut novel, *Hullabaloo in the Guava Orchard*, is set in the fictional North Indian town of Shahkot, a place perpetually afflicted by drought and the desperate longing for rain. From its opening pages, the novel establishes a world in which the environment is not benign or indifferent but actively present as a force that shapes human experience, collective desire, and social psychology. The parched earth, the listless trees, the unrelenting sun — these are presented not as backdrop but as conditions of existence that penetrate every aspect of life in Shahkot.

At the heart of the narrative is the guava orchard, where the protagonist Sampath Chawla retreats from the absurdities of his social world and takes up residence in a tree. The orchard is a liminal space — neither fully wild nor fully cultivated — a remnant of nature surviving at the edges of an encroaching modernity. It is also a space of genuine ecological complexity: it shelters a troupe of monkeys, supports a variety of birds, and

maintains its own seasonal rhythms in defiance of the human world that surrounds it. In choosing the orchard as his refuge, Sampath instinctively seeks out the one space in Shahkot that has not yet been fully colonised by human purpose and social expectation.

Sampath's withdrawal to the orchard can be read as an instinctive response to ecological alienation — a desire to re-establish contact with the non-human world that modern social life has severed. His communion with the monkeys, birds, and trees is presented not as madness but as a form of attunement, a sensitivity to ecological rhythms that the other characters, trapped in bureaucratic routines and social anxieties, have entirely lost. Desai inverts the conventional hierarchy that privileges human civilization over nature, suggesting that it is the natural world, with its magnificent indifference to human pretension, that offers the only authentic refuge from a society grown hollow and absurd under the pressures of modernity.

Yet the orchard is also under threat. The arrival of devotees who mistake Sampath for a holy man, followed by government officials and eventually a military-style operation to remove the monkeys, represents the systematic encroachment of institutional power upon a fragile ecological space. The orchard cannot sustain the weight of human attention and exploitation; it is gradually transformed from a living ecosystem into a spectacle, a site of human projection, commerce, and increasingly violent conflict. Desai's novel thus anticipates concerns central to contemporary environmental writing about the commodification and destruction of natural spaces under the pressures of development, mass tourism, and unregulated economic growth.

The ecological degradation of the orchard mirrors, with careful structural symmetry, the erosion of Sampath's own identity and his family's coherence as a social unit. As the orchard is invaded and progressively transformed, his capacity for genuine communion with the natural world diminishes accordingly. The novel's ending — deliberately ambiguous and richly mythic — suggests that the only escape from this double erosion is a dissolution of the boundary between human self and natural world, an ecological merging that the social world cannot accommodate or ultimately sustain.

Himalayan Ecology and Cultural Disintegration in *The Inheritance of Loss*

If *Hullabaloo in the Guava Orchard* engages with ecological themes through the lens of comic fable and satirical mythology, *The Inheritance of Loss* approaches them with a gravity and historical scope that reflects the novel's more ambitious political concerns. Set in the Himalayan town of Kalimpong during the 1980s Gorkhaland agitation, the novel weaves together multiple narrative threads — the retired judge Jemubhai Patel, his granddaughter Sai, her tutor Gyan, and the cook's son Biju labouring underground in New York — into a sustained and emotionally exhausting meditation on colonialism, migration, belonging, and irreversible loss. Throughout, the Himalayan landscape serves as both setting and living symbol, its ecological condition functioning as a precise register of the characters' inner states and of the region's deepening political turbulence.

Kalimpong in Desai's rendering is a place of extraordinary natural beauty perpetually under threat. The mountains loom with a grandeur that reduces human pretension to insignificance, but they are also subject to the erosive forces of monsoon, fog, landslide, and political violence. The town itself is a palimpsest of colonial

and postcolonial histories — its architecture a mixture of British-era bungalows and newer constructions reflecting the pressures of modernity and mass migration. The natural landscape, the rhododendrons, the mist, the Teesta River far below, is presented simultaneously as refuge and reminder, offering momentary solace while insistently recalling the losses that the characters have suffered and continue to endure.

The judge's house and garden are particularly significant as ecological symbols. The house, built in the colonial style and filled with the remnants of the judge's anglicised ambitions, is slowly being reclaimed by the encroaching jungle. The garden, once carefully maintained according to standards imported wholesale from the colonial metropolis, is increasingly overgrown and abandoned to wildness. This ecological reclamation is not presented as triumphant or redemptive but as deeply melancholy — a sign of the judge's withdrawal from engagement with life, his failure to maintain meaningful connection with either the human or the non-human world around him. The garden's advancing wildness reflects his own inner wilderness, the unacknowledged grief and corrosive self-hatred that a colonial education deposited in his psyche over decades and that he has never found the means to confront or resolve.

Sai's relationship with the landscape is more ambivalent and ultimately more generative. She finds in the mountains and their seasonal transformations a vocabulary for her own developing emotional life, and her love affair with Gyan is conducted against a backdrop of natural beauty that intensifies both its early joy and its eventual devastating dissolution. The landscape is a participant in the human drama, not merely its setting. When the political violence of the Gorkhaland agitation disrupts the town, it disrupts the ecological fabric of daily life as well — the movement of people through the landscape, the care of animals, the maintenance of gardens and homes. Desai makes clear that political instability and ecological disturbance are not separate phenomena but dimensions of the same underlying crisis, each feeding the other in a cycle of mutual reinforcement and deterioration.

The novel's treatment of weather deserves particular ecocritical attention. The monsoon in Kalimpong is not merely a meteorological event but an existential one: it brings floods, landslides, and infrastructural collapse, intensifying the isolation of those who are already economically and politically marginalised. The fog that perpetually shrouds the mountains functions as a sustained metaphor for the obscuring of identity and history, for the ways in which colonialism and its aftermath have made it genuinely difficult for the characters to see clearly who they are and where they belong. In Desai's hands, climate is never simply weather; it is a condensation of history, politics, and psychological reality rendered in the terms of the natural world.

Migration, Diaspora, and Ecological Dislocation

One of the most distinctive structural features of *The Inheritance of Loss* is its sustained attention to the experience of migration and diaspora, figured through the story of Biju, the cook's son who has emigrated illegally to New York in search of economic opportunity. Biju's narrative provides a powerful counterpoint to the Kalimpong sections of the novel, juxtaposing the ecological richness and complexity of the Himalayas with the alienating, ecologically impoverished environment of the immigrant underground in Manhattan.

Biju's experience in New York is one of radical ecological dislocation. He moves through a landscape that is almost entirely artificial — concrete, glass, steel, refrigerated kitchens — and that offers no point of meaningful contact with the natural world he has left behind. His labour in restaurant kitchens keeps him underground, literally and metaphorically, cut off from sky, soil, and season. Desai's portrait of immigrant life in the global city is not simply a social or political critique; it is fundamentally an ecological one. She suggests that the conditions of economic migration involve not only the loss of home and community but the loss of a sustaining relationship with the natural world — a form of ecological exile that deepens and compounds every other loss that migration necessarily entails.

This ecological dislocation is mirrored in the judge's earlier migration to England for his legal education, which severed him from the landscape of his origins and implanted in him a set of values and desires that could never be fully satisfied within the Indian context. The judge's colonial education did not merely alter his political and social allegiances; it fundamentally reshaped his ecological sensibility, teaching him to value the ordered, manicured landscapes of imperial England over the wild, exuberant, sometimes overwhelming landscapes of the subcontinent. His inability to feel genuinely at home in Kalimpong — to love the mountains, the mist, and the teeming life of the region — is not merely a psychological failure but an ecological one: he has been systematically educated out of his ecological inheritance and cannot find his way back to it.

Desai's treatment of these interconnected dislocations reflects a sophisticated understanding of what environmental humanists have called ecological identity: the sense of self that is grounded in and sustained by one's relationship to particular landscapes, ecosystems, and ecological communities. When those landscapes are lost or fundamentally altered — whether through migration, colonial education, political violence, or the slow accumulations of climate change — the sense of self that they sustained is correspondingly eroded. All of Desai's central characters are suffering from this erosion in different registers and to different degrees, and the novel's cumulative emotional power derives from the way in which it renders this suffering as simultaneously personal and political, individual and collective, local and global.

Environmental Ethics, Animals, and the Politics of Belonging

Desai's fiction raises important questions about environmental ethics and the politics of belonging that resonate powerfully with current debates about climate justice and ecological responsibility. Who has the right to inhabit a landscape? Who bears responsibility for its degradation? How are questions of ecological rights and responsibilities entangled with the histories of colonialism, capitalism, and forced migration that have shaped the modern world in its present form? Desai does not answer these questions directly or didactically; instead, she dramatises them through the lives of characters who are themselves caught in the contradictions and impossible choices they generate.

In *The Inheritance of Loss*, these questions are posed with particular urgency in relation to the Gorkhaland agitation, which is presented as simultaneously a legitimate struggle for cultural and political recognition and a deeply destructive force that tears apart communities and damages the ecological fabric of the region. The insurgents who raid the judge's house are not simply criminals;

they are also people who have been denied a stable sense of belonging, who have been made to feel like strangers in the very landscape their ancestors inhabited for generations. Their violence is, in significant part, a symptom of this ecological and political dispossession — the consequence of being unmade as subjects of a place and denied any legitimate means of making their claims heard.

Desai consistently resists the temptation to offer simple moral judgments or comfortable resolutions. Her novels acknowledge the justice of marginalised claims for recognition while simultaneously and honestly depicting the damage those claims, when expressed through violence, inflict upon the ecological and social fabric of vulnerable communities. This refusal of easy answers is itself an environmental ethical stance, one that insists on the irreducible complexity of the relationship between human beings and the environments they inhabit, and on the impossibility of separating ecological questions cleanly from the political and historical contexts that have shaped them.

The novels' engagement with non-human animals further deepens their environmental ethical dimension. Both texts are populated by animals that occupy a significant, if often critically overlooked, place in the ecological and narrative economy of the work. The monkeys in the guava orchard, the judge's beloved dog Mutt, the various creatures inhabiting the Himalayan ecosystem — these are not merely decorative or symbolic presences but participants in the ecological communities that the novels describe, their fates bound up with those of the human characters in ways that challenge the conventional literary privileging of the human over the non-human.

Mutt's fate in *The Inheritance of Loss* is particularly poignant and ecocritically significant. The judge's only genuine emotional attachment is to his dog, a relationship presented as purer and more sustaining than any of his human connections precisely because it is uncomplicated by the colonial distortions that have warped his capacity for human intimacy. When Mutt is stolen during the political chaos of the agitation, the judge's loss is not merely personal; it is ecological — the severing of his last meaningful bond with another living creature. Desai uses this loss to illuminate how political violence disrupts not only human communities but the broader webs of ecological relationship in which those communities are always already and inescapably embedded.

Climate Consciousness and the Anthropocene Imagination

Although Desai's novels predate the widespread literary and public discourse about the Anthropocene and climate change that has emerged most forcefully in the past decade, they anticipate with remarkable prescience many of the concerns that now characterise climate fiction as a recognised genre. The landscapes she depicts are not static or timeless; they are already changing under the accumulated pressures of human activity, and those changes are registered with an urgency that reflects a deep and abiding ecological awareness.

The droughts afflicting Shahkot in *Hullabaloo in the Guava Orchard*, the intensifying monsoons in Kalimpong, the landslides that periodically threaten the stability of the mountain town — these are not merely atmospheric details but symptoms of landscapes under stress, natural worlds responding to and being progressively reshaped by the accumulated pressures of human inhabitation and exploitation. Desai's fiction thus participates in

what Timothy Clark, in *Ecocriticism on the Edge* (2015), has called the Anthropocene imagination: a literary sensibility alert to the ways in which human activity is reshaping the planetary environment and that seeks to articulate the ethical and existential implications of that reshaping for those compelled to live through it.

Desai's ecological imagination is also informed by a specifically South Asian set of relationships to the natural world. The traditions of nature reverence embedded in Indian cultural and religious life — sacred groves, rivers venerated as goddesses, mountains understood as divine presences — are not mere folklore in her fiction but traces of living ecological relationships that have historically shaped how communities on the subcontinent have understood, inhabited, and cared for their environments. Desai does not romanticise these traditions or present them as uncomplicated resources for contemporary ecological thought, but she acknowledges their presence and their residual power, and she mourns the ways in which they have been progressively eroded by colonialism, aggressive modernisation, and the increasing commodification of the natural world under the pressures of global capitalism.

The intersection of traditional ecological knowledges and contemporary environmental crisis is one of the most productive areas for ecocritical analysis in Indian English literature, and Desai's fiction makes a substantial and distinctive contribution to this field. Her novels suggest that the ecological crisis facing the Indian subcontinent — and, by extension, the global South more broadly — cannot be understood in purely technical or scientific terms. It is also, and perhaps most fundamentally, a crisis of meaning, of relationship, and of identity, one that requires the imaginative and moral resources of literary art as well as the analytical tools of environmental science to comprehend adequately and, ultimately, to begin to address.

Desai's work connects productively with that of other Indian English writers who have engaged with ecological themes, most notably Amitav Ghosh, whose non-fiction work *The Great Derangement* (2016) argues that the failure of contemporary literary imagination to represent climate change adequately is itself a symptom of the cultural and cognitive crisis the phenomenon has produced. Desai's fiction, in its patient and emotionally intelligent attention to the ways in which ecological change is lived and felt by ordinary people in specific landscapes, can be read as a sustained and powerful answer to Ghosh's challenge — an example of the kind of literary imagination that the ecological crisis both demands and, in writers of Desai's quality, continues to call forth.

Conclusion

Kiran Desai's fiction constitutes a significant and underappreciated contribution to the ecocritical tradition in Indian English literature. Through her meticulous and compassionate attention to landscape, her exploration of the deep connections between ecological and cultural erosion, and her willingness to engage seriously with the political and historical dimensions of environmental crisis, she has produced a body of work that demands to be read not only as literary achievement but as ecological testimony — a record of what it feels like, from the inside, to inhabit landscapes and communities that are being lost.

This paper has argued that in Desai's fictional world, the erosion of landscape and the erosion of identity are not merely parallel phenomena but deeply and causally interconnected ones.

The degradation of the natural environment is both cause and symptom of the degradation of the human communities that depend upon it. The guava orchard and the Himalayan foothills of Kalimpong are not simply settings for human drama; they are active participants in that drama, their vitality or decline a precise measure of the health or sickness of the cultures and communities they sustain. When the orchard withers and the mountains are wracked by storm and political violence, something essential in the human beings who inhabit those spaces withers and fractures as well.

In situating Desai's work within the frameworks of ecocriticism, postcolonial ecology, and environmental humanities, this paper has sought to demonstrate the richness and complexity of her environmental imagination, and to suggest that her novels offer substantial resources for thinking about some of the most pressing ecological and ethical questions of our time. As the consequences of climate change become more acute and more visible across South Asia — in the form of intensifying monsoons, retreating glaciers, rising sea levels, and increasingly unpredictable weather patterns — the kind of ecological consciousness that Desai's fiction embodies, attentive, politically literate, morally serious, and deeply empathetic, becomes not merely a literary virtue but an urgent cultural and intellectual necessity.

Future scholarship on Desai's work might profitably extend the ecocritical analysis offered here to consider more fully her engagement with water — the rivers, rains, and floods that course through both novels — as well as with soil, food, and the material conditions of ecological sustenance. The interdisciplinary tools of the environmental humanities, combining literary criticism with human geography, political ecology, and postcolonial studies, offer the richest framework for this ongoing and urgently needed work, and Kiran Desai's fiction provides some of the most rewarding and ethically resonant material for their application across the field of Indian English literature.

References

1. Albrecht, Glenn. "Solastalgia: A New Concept in the Climate Change Discourse." *Filozoficzne Aspekty Kryzysu Ekologicznego*, vol. 3, 2007, pp. 41-55.
2. Buell, Lawrence. *The Environmental Imagination: Thoreau, Nature Writing, and the Formation of American Culture*. Harvard UP, 1995.
3. Clark, Timothy. *Ecocriticism on the Edge: The Anthropocene as a Threshold Concept*. Bloomsbury, 2015.
4. Desai, Kiran. *Hullabaloo in the Guava Orchard*. Atlantic Monthly Press, 1998.
5. Desai, Kiran. *The Inheritance of Loss*. Grove Press, 2006.
6. Ghosh, Amitav. *The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable*. University of Chicago Press, 2016.
7. Glotfelty, Cheryl, and Harold Fromm, editors. *The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology*. U of Georgia P, 1996.
8. Huggan, Graham, and Helen Tiffin. *Postcolonial Ecocriticism: Literature, Animals, Environment*. Routledge, 2010.
9. Krishnan, Divya., Dugaje Manohar. "Ecological Consciousness in Jose Saramago's Childrens' Literature: A Study of The Biggest Flower in the World". *Ecological Consciousness in Jose Saramago's Childrens' Literature: A Study of The Biggest Flower in the World*. Routledge. 2024. <https://slink.com/439lkp6>
10. Mukherjee, Upamanyu Pablo. *Postcolonial Environments: Nature, Culture and the Contemporary Indian Novel in English*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.
11. Nixon, Rob. *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Harvard UP, 2011.
12. Plumwood, Val. *Environmental Culture: The Ecological Crisis of Reason*. Routledge, 2002