

Diasporic Identity and Nostalgia in the Works of Jhumpa Lahiri

Dr. Bisheshwar Ray*

Ph. D in English (JPU, Chapra) Managing Director, Rebel: A School of Personality Development, Chapra

Received: 09/04/2026

Accepted: 13/05/2026

Published: 25/05/2026

Abstract: This paper examines the intertwined dynamics of diasporic identity and nostalgia in the literary works of Jhumpa Lahiri, the Pulitzer Prize-winning author of Bengali-Indian descent whose fiction has become central to the canon of South Asian diasporic writing in English. Drawing on diaspora theory, postcolonial criticism, and cultural memory studies, this paper analyses Lahiri's major works — *Interpreter of Maladies* (1999), *The Namesake* (2003), *Unaccustomed Earth* (2008), and *The Lowland* (2013) — to trace the recurring thematic and formal preoccupations with belonging, cultural displacement, intergenerational conflict, and the longing for an originary home that defines the diasporic imagination. The paper argues that Lahiri's treatment of nostalgia is neither simple sentimentality nor straightforward critique; rather, she employs nostalgia as a complex affective structure through which her characters negotiate the irresolvable tensions of living between two cultures, two nations, and two selves. Her fiction illuminates the ways in which diaspora produces not a stable hyphenated identity but a condition of perpetual negotiation, grief, and reinvention.

Keywords: *Diaspora, nostalgia, Bengali-American identity, postcolonialism, cultural memory, The Namesake, Interpreter of Maladies.*

Cite this article: Ray, B. (2026). Diasporic Identity and Nostalgia in the Works of Jhumpa Lahiri. *MRS Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(5), 101-105.

Introduction

Jhumpa Lahiri's emergence onto the American literary scene with the publication of *Interpreter of Maladies* in 1999 — a debut short story collection that won the Pulitzer Prize for Fiction — marked a signal moment in the history of South Asian diasporic writing. Her fiction arrived at a cultural and historical juncture when the Indian-American community had become large, diverse, and institutionally visible enough to sustain a literary imagination capable of turning its own experience into art. Yet Lahiri's significance cannot be reduced to her representative function. Her work possesses an aesthetic integrity and a psychological depth that transcend the sociological and transform the local — Bengali immigrant life in Boston and New York in the 1970s, 1980s, and 1990s — into the universal.

Born in London in 1967 to Bengali parents who subsequently emigrated to the United States, Lahiri grew up in Rhode Island in a family that maintained deep emotional and cultural ties to Calcutta while building a life in America. This biographical condition — of inhabiting two worlds while belonging fully to neither — is the generative matrix of her fiction. Her characters are perpetually caught between the homeland they carry in memory and imagination and the adopted country that refuses to fully recognise them. This in-between-ness, this condition of cultural liminality, is not resolved in her fiction but sustained as an ongoing negotiation, a permanent state rather than a temporary transit.

This paper proceeds through close readings of Lahiri's major works, situating them within the theoretical frameworks of diaspora studies and cultural memory scholarship. Section 2 establishes the theoretical coordinates of the analysis. Sections 3

through 6 offer textual analyses of Lahiri's key works, attending to the dynamics of nostalgia, identity, and cultural transmission. Section 7 examines Lahiri's remarkable turn to Italian — her adoption of a third language as a literary medium — as the most radical extension of her diasporic imagination. Section 8 offers concluding reflections on Lahiri's place within world literature and the significance of her literary project.

Theoretical Framework: Diaspora, Nostalgia, and Cultural Memory

The conceptual vocabulary of diaspora studies provides the primary theoretical framework for this analysis. Stuart Hall's foundational essay 'Cultural Identity and Diaspora' (1990) offers a crucial distinction between two models of cultural identity in the diasporic condition. The first model understands identity as a fixed essence, a stable core of shared culture and history that the diaspora preserves against the erosive pressures of displacement. The second — and for Hall, more productive — model conceives of identity as a matter of 'becoming' rather than 'being': not a stable origin to which one returns but a production that is never complete, always in process, constituted not outside but within representation. Lahiri's fiction inhabits precisely this tension between the two models: her first-generation characters typically cling to the first model, seeking to preserve an essentialised Indianness against the corruptions of American assimilation, while their children are compelled to inhabit the second, constructing identities from the available fragments of two cultures.

Homi Bhabha's concept of the 'third space' — the interstitial zone between cultures in which diasporic subjects

negotiate their hybrid identities — is similarly useful, though Lahiri's fiction often registers the third space not as a site of creative hybridity but as one of painful irresolution. Her characters frequently find that the space between cultures is less a habitable home than a permanent homelessness. This more melancholic inflection of diaspora experience is captured in Avtar Brah's concept of 'diaspora space' and her notion of 'homing desire' — the longing for home that diaspora perpetually generates but can never satisfy, because the home that is longed for is always already a construction of memory rather than an accessible reality.

Nostalgia — the affective structure through which diaspora longing most commonly expresses itself — has received sustained theoretical attention from Svetlana Boym, whose distinction between 'restorative' and 'reflective' nostalgia is particularly illuminating for a reading of Lahiri. Restorative nostalgia seeks to reconstruct the lost home, to restore what has been displaced; it is the nostalgia of nationalism and traditionalism. Reflective nostalgia, by contrast, dwells in the longing itself, in the irrecoverability of the past, without seeking its literal restoration; it is the nostalgia of the artist and the intellectual, aware of its own constructedness. Lahiri's most complex characters practice a form of reflective nostalgia — they know that the India they long for is a creation of memory and imagination, not an accessible reality, yet they cannot relinquish the longing. This knowing irresolution is the affective signature of her fiction.

The Grammar of Displacement: Interpreter of Maladies (1999)

Interpreter of Maladies, Lahiri's debut collection, establishes with remarkable assurance the thematic and formal concerns that will animate her subsequent work. The nine stories range in setting from Calcutta to Boston, from New Delhi to suburban New England, but they are unified by a shared preoccupation with miscommunication — with the gaps, silences, and untranslatable distances that open between people who belong to different cultures, or who find themselves suspended between two worlds. The collection's title story, in which an Indian-American tourist family enlists a local tour guide and part-time interpreter as their driver, serves as a structural allegory for the collection as a whole: the experience of diaspora is fundamentally one of translation, of navigating between languages, codes, and cultural registers that cannot be made fully equivalent.

'A Temporary Matter' opens the collection with a story of marital dissolution in which a young Bengali-American couple, Shoba and Shukumar, use the cover of a temporary power outage to confess small secrets to each other. The story's formal elegance lies in the way it uses the darkness — the suspension of normal visibility — as a figure for the emotional opacity that has settled between two people who inhabit the same physical space but have drifted into mutual incomprehension. The couple's Bengali heritage is present not as cultural content but as a kind of atmospheric pressure: the weight of familial expectation, the particular emotional reserve of a certain class of educated Bengali, the grief of a lost child coded in the idioms of a culture that does not encourage its public expression.

'When Mr. Pirzada Came to Dine' offers perhaps the collection's most affecting exploration of nostalgia as a political as well as personal condition. Mr. Pirzada, a Pakistani scholar studying in the United States during the 1971 Bangladesh Liberation War, visits the narrator's Bengali-American family each

evening to watch news reports of the conflict in which his country — his wife, his seven daughters — is caught. The story's child narrator observes with delicate precision the ritual of Mr. Pirzada's watching: the sugar-free candy he offers her, the precision of his dress, the discipline with which he contains his terror. Nostalgia here is not sentimental but existential — Mr. Pirzada's longing for home is entangled with the knowledge that the home he longs for may no longer exist, may be in the process of violent destruction. Lahiri uses the historical specificity of 1971 to render nostalgia as a condition of political urgency, not merely of personal sentiment.

Across the collection, Lahiri develops what might be called a poetics of the small: the significant object, the telling gesture, the specific meal that carries the weight of cultural memory. Food, in particular, functions throughout her fiction as a primary vehicle of nostalgia and cultural identity. The preparation of Bengali meals — the particular spices, the particular techniques, the particular occasions — is an act of cultural preservation, a form of remembering enacted through the body. Yet it is also a reminder of loss: the food can be reproduced in America, but the social world within which it had meaning — the extended family, the seasonal rhythms, the particular domestic culture of a Calcutta household — cannot be transplanted.

The Burden of the Name: The Namesake (2003)

The Namesake, Lahiri's first novel, expands the concerns of Interpreter of Maladies into a multi-generational narrative that traces the Ganguli family across three decades, from Ashoke and Ashima's arranged marriage in Calcutta and subsequent emigration to Boston, through the American adolescence and adulthood of their son Gogol. The novel's central formal conceit — the name — is a remarkable invention that allows Lahiri to explore the dynamics of cultural identity with unusual precision and depth. Gogol Ganguli carries, embedded in his very name, the entire problem of the diasporic subject: named after the Russian writer Nikolai Gogol, after whom his father was reading when he survived a train crash in India, the name is a private joke, a family secret, a name that belongs neither to the Bengali naming tradition nor to the American social world Gogol inhabits. His name is an anomaly, a mark of his family's peculiar history, a sign of his in-between-ness.

Ashima Ganguli is one of the most fully realised portraits of first-generation immigrant experience in contemporary American fiction. Her opening scene — alone in the kitchen of the Cambridge apartment she and Ashoke have just moved into, heavily pregnant, trying to approximate the taste of Calcutta street food with the ingredients available in an American grocery store — establishes with characteristic economy the central condition of the immigrant: the effort to recreate a sensory and cultural world that cannot be fully recreated, the perpetual experience of approximation and substitution. Ashima's nostalgia is embodied, registered in the failure of American food to taste like home.

Gogol's trajectory through the novel enacts the second generation's different relation to the homeland his parents carry in memory. For Gogol, India is not a remembered home but an imagined one — a place he has visited but does not know from the inside, a culture he has inherited but not lived. His adolescent rebellion against his Indian identity — his insistence on being called Nikhil, his relationships with American women who know nothing of his heritage, his deliberate immersion in American

culture — is a recognisable diaspora narrative, the second generation's attempt to escape the burden of cultural difference. Yet Lahiri is too subtle a writer to present this rebellion as simply liberation. Gogol's Americanisation is shadowed by loss — the loss of a cultural inheritance he did not choose but which is, nevertheless, part of what makes him who he is.

The novel's most profound and moving sequences concern the death of Ashoke and its aftermath. It is only in grief — in confronting the irreversibility of his father's death — that Gogol begins to understand what he has lost, not only in his father but in his own estrangement from his Bengali heritage. Lahiri presents grief and nostalgia as cognate structures: both involve the confrontation with irrecoverability, with the impossibility of returning to a world that no longer exists. The Namesake suggests that diasporic identity is not simply a matter of cultural negotiation but of mourning — the perpetual mourning of a home and a self that can never be fully recovered.

The Second Generation's Inheritance: Unaccustomed Earth (2008)

Unaccustomed Earth, Lahiri's second story collection, marks a significant development in her literary project. While *Interpreter of Maladies* focused primarily on first-generation immigrant experience and *The Namesake* charted the second generation's troubled inheritance, *Unaccustomed Earth* gives sustained attention to second-generation Bengali-Americans who have, to a significant degree, successfully negotiated the demands of American professional and social life. Yet Lahiri's treatment resists any triumphalist narrative of assimilation. Her second-generation characters carry the weight of their parents' nostalgia even as they construct lives that their parents could not have imagined.

The collection's title, drawn from Nathaniel Hawthorne's remark that 'human nature will not flourish, any more than a potato, if it be planted and replanted, for too long a series of generations, in the same worn-out soil,' announces Lahiri's preoccupation with transplantation and its costs and possibilities. The image of the plant replanted in new soil is a figure for the diasporic condition that Lahiri subjects to careful interrogation: what flourishes in the new soil? What is lost in the transplantation? What of the old soil — the old culture, the old ways of being — persists in the new growth?

The long triptych that closes the collection — 'Hema and Kaushik,' a three-part novella tracing two Bengali-American characters across decades from childhood acquaintance through adult reunion — is one of Lahiri's finest achievements. The story's elegiac quality is intensified by its ending: Kaushik, a photojournalist who has made a life of perpetual displacement, dies in the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami, killed by the sea near the country his parents came from. The death is not explained or moralized; it simply happens, with the arbitrariness of actual catastrophe. Yet it carries the weight of all of Lahiri's meditations on displacement: Kaushik, a man who has never been at home anywhere, is finally destroyed by the indifferent element — water, the medium of crossing and of drowning — that has always figured his condition.

Throughout *Unaccustomed Earth*, Lahiri develops her characteristic mode of registering cultural memory through the specific and the sensory. The smell of a particular incense, the

sound of a particular raga, the specific visual landscape of a Calcutta street — these are the materials through which nostalgia is constructed and transmitted across generations. The second-generation characters in this collection do not simply inherit their parents' nostalgia; they transform it, incorporating it into lives that are recognizably American in their forms and aspirations while carrying within them the emotional residue of a homeland they have never fully inhabited.

History, Politics, and the Diasporic Wound: The Lowland (2013)

The *Lowland*, Lahiri's second novel, is her most historically ambitious work, reaching back into the political turbulence of late 1960s Calcutta — specifically the Naxalite movement, the radical Maoist uprising that convulsed Bengal between 1967 and 1972 — as the historical ground from which its diasporic narrative grows. The novel opens with two brothers, Subhash and Udayan Mitra, whose divergent choices — Subhash emigrates to the United States to pursue a scientific career; Udayan remains in Calcutta and joins the Naxalites — set in motion a tragedy that will reverberate across decades and continents. When Udayan is killed by police in the lowland behind their family home, Subhash returns to India, marries Udayan's pregnant widow Gauri, and brings her back to America — an act of duty that binds together two profoundly incompatible people in a life neither has chosen.

The *Lowland* represents a significant extension of Lahiri's diasporic imagination in its insistence on the political roots of personal displacement. The characters' emigration is not merely the result of economic aspiration or the desire for educational opportunity; it is the product of historical trauma — of political violence, of grief, of the need to escape a home that has been made unbearable by loss. Lahiri suggests that the nostalgia of the diaspora is always, at some level, a nostalgia for a world that violence has already destroyed — that the homeland being mourned is not an intact reality but a ruin, a place of catastrophic historical change.

Gauri is Lahiri's most psychologically complex and morally ambiguous protagonist. A woman of formidable intellectual brilliance who becomes a philosopher in America, she is also a mother who abandons her daughter Bela — an act of desertion that the novel traces without either condemnation or excuse. Gauri's abandonment is, among other things, an extreme act of self-reinvention: a refusal of the identities — wife of the martyred revolutionary, widow, immigrant mother — that her history has assigned to her. Lahiri's willingness to make Gauri unsympathetic — to resist the imperative to make her female protagonist legible or lovable — is a mark of her literary maturity and moral seriousness.

The third-generation character Bela, raised in America without her mother and without any meaningful connection to her Indian heritage, represents the extreme end of the diasporic spectrum: a woman for whom India is not even a nostalgic absence but simply an irrelevance, a fact of genetic origin that carries no cultural or emotional weight. Yet Bela's life — itinerant, emotionally unmoored, committed to a kind of radical rootlessness — suggests that the diasporic wound does not heal with generational distance; it merely transforms, passing from nostalgia for a specific homeland into a more diffuse and unnamed sense of loss.

A Third Language: In Other Words and the Radical Diaspora

In 2015, Lahiri published *In Other Words* (In altre parole), a memoir written entirely in Italian — a language she had begun learning as an adult and which she chose, with deliberate intention, as her new literary medium. The book, originally written in Italian and published in a bilingual edition with an English translation by Ann Goldstein, represents the most radical extension of Lahiri's diasporic imagination: the voluntary exile not only from her homeland but from her literary language, the adoption of a third tongue that belongs to neither of the cultures that have shaped her.

Lahiri's turn to Italian has been interpreted in various ways: as an escape from the burden of representation that her position as a prominent South Asian American author had imposed upon her; as a literary experiment in creative self-reinvention; as a philosophical exploration of the relationship between language and identity. *In Other Words* itself reflects on all of these motivations with characteristic introspective precision. Lahiri describes her relationship to Italian as a love affair — intense, transformative, and marked by the pleasures of difficulty, of learning to articulate oneself in a language that does not come naturally.

What is most significant for the purposes of this paper is the way *In Other Words* radicalises and makes explicit the diasporic dynamic that has always been at the heart of Lahiri's fiction. Her Bengali-American characters have always been people for whom no single language is adequate to the fullness of their experience — who find that English cannot carry the emotional weight of Bengali, and that Bengali cannot navigate the social world of America. Lahiri's adoption of Italian is the author's own enactment of this condition: the embrace of linguistic displacement as a creative condition rather than a deficit, the discovery that writing in a language one has not inherited carries its own peculiar freedom.

Conclusion: Between Worlds, Between Words

Jhumpa Lahiri's literary project is, at its deepest level, a sustained meditation on what it means to be between — between cultures, between languages, between the world one comes from and the world one inhabits. Her fiction refuses the consolations of both assimilation and nostalgia: she does not imagine that her characters can simply become American, shedding the weight of their Bengali heritage as they acquire new social competencies; nor does she suggest that the homeland being mourned is an intact, recoverable reality to which one might return. The India of her fiction is always already a construction — a composite of memory, imagination, family mythology, and the particular emotional needs of the exile — rather than an objective geographical and cultural fact.

This insistence on the constructedness of home and identity might seem to lead toward a comfortable postmodern celebration of hybridity and flux. But Lahiri's emotional register is consistently more elegiac than celebratory. Her characters mourn — the loss of a culture, a language, a way of being in the world that emigration has made inaccessible. They grieve for parents who cannot fully communicate with their children, for children who cannot fully understand their parents, for relationships fractured by the unbridgeable distance between two cultural worlds. Lahiri's nostalgia is not restorative — she does not imagine a return to the

lost home — but it is genuine, and she insists on its genuine emotional and cultural weight against the tendency of multicultural optimism to dissolve such grief into the celebration of diversity.

What makes Lahiri's fiction enduring, however, is not only its emotional truthfulness but its formal mastery. Her prose is characterised by a classical restraint — precise, measured, free of ornamentation — that is itself a kind of ethical position, a refusal to aestheticise or sentimentalise the suffering her characters undergo. The formal discipline of her writing enacts the emotional discipline of her characters, who have learned to contain their grief and longing within the forms available to them. In this sense, Lahiri's style is itself a figure for the diasporic condition: the art of living within constraint, of making meaning from the materials at hand, of finding a language — however imperfect, however borrowed — adequate to the complexity of one's experience.

In a global literary landscape increasingly attentive to the experiences of migration and displacement, Lahiri's work stands as one of the most precise and compassionate accounts of the diasporic imagination yet produced. Her fiction insists, above all, that the experience of living between cultures is not a problem to be solved but a condition to be lived — with grief, with grace, with the full complexity that human experience demands.

References

1. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
2. Boym, Svetlana. *The Future of Nostalgia*. Basic Books, 2001.
3. Brah, Avtar. *Cartographies of Diaspora: Contesting Identities*. Routledge, 1996.
4. Dugaje, Manohar. South Asian Immigrant Identity in Flux: Reading Mohsin Hamid's *The Reluctant Fundamentalist* in the Post 9/11 Context. *JAC: A Journal of Composition Theory*. Volume XII Issue XII. De. 2019.
5. https://drive.google.com/file/d/18gXWZ8PZm6vcNV_4pz5sBNLUW3vDIOHH/view
6. ---. Re-mapping Colonial Violence: A Postcolonial Study of Coetzee's *Life and Times of Michael K*. *MRS Journal of Arts, Humanities and Literature*. Issue-12 Volume-2 2025. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17879881>
7. Ghosh, Bishnupriya. "An Awkward Stage: Jhumpa Lahiri and the Immigrant Condition." *Postcolonial Text*, vol. 2, no. 2, 2006.
8. Gopinath, Gayatri. *Impossible Desires: Queer Diasporas and South Asian Public Cultures*. Duke University Press, 2005.
9. Hall, Stuart. "Cultural Identity and Diaspora." *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*, edited by Jonathan Rutherford, Lawrence and Wishart, 1990, pp. 222-237.
10. Hawthorne, Nathaniel. *The Scarlet Letter*. Ticknor, Reed and Fields, 1850.
11. Lahiri, Jhumpa. *Interpreter of Maladies*. Houghton Mifflin, 1999.
12. ---. *The Namesake*. Houghton Mifflin, 2003.
13. ---. *Unaccustomed Earth*. Alfred A. Knopf, 2008.
14. ---. *The Lowland*. Alfred A. Knopf, 2013.
15. ---. *In Other Words*. Trans. Ann Goldstein. Alfred A. Knopf, 2016.
16. Mishra, Vijay. *The Literature of the Indian Diaspora: Theorizing the Diasporic Imaginary*. Routledge, 2007.

17. Mukherjee, Meenakshi. "The Anxiety of Indianness: Our Novels in English." *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 28, no. 48, 1993, pp. 2607-2611.
18. Rushdie, Salman. *Imaginary Homelands: Essays and Criticism 1981-1991*. Granta, 1991.
19. Safran, William. "Diasporas in Modern Societies: Myths of Homeland and Return." *Diaspora: A Journal of Transnational Studies*, vol. 1, no. 1, 1991, pp. 83-99.
20. Shankar, Lavina Dhingra and Rajini Srikanth, eds. *A Part, Yet Apart: South Asians in Asian America*. Temple University Press, 1998.
21. Tölölyan, Khachig. "The Nation-State and Its Others: In Lieu of a Preface." *Diaspora: A Journal of Transnational Studies*, vol. 1, no. 1, 1991, pp. 3-7.